

## CLAIM

1 I claim:

1. A multiparameter method of screening for the diagnosis, the prevention or the treatment of atherosclerosis-related coronary heart disease

5 (CHD) or stroke comprising;

defining the disease as atherosclerosis-related CHD or stroke;

defining the normal as free from said disease;

defining the following parameters as

10 atherosclerotic parameters consisting of  $c$  = the Low-density lipoprotein (LDL) concentration parameter in mg/dL or  $c$  = the C-reactive protein (CRP) concentration parameter in mg/L,  $p$  = the blood systolic pressure parameter in mmHg or  $p$  =  
15 the blood diastolic pressure parameter in mmHg,  $f$  = the heart rate parameter in  $s^{-1}$ ,  $a$  = the radius parameter of arterial vessels in cm,  $T$  = the temperature parameter of blood plasma in  $^{\circ}C$ ,  $\alpha$  = the angle parameter of arterial vessels in degree  
20 and  $z$  = the axial position parameter of diffusional flux in cm, called diffusional length;

an individual having the measured values of said

atherosclerotic parameters of the following expressions:

$$25 \quad J = A c^{\frac{11}{9}} (v^3 D^{16})^{\frac{1}{27}} \left( \frac{g \cos \alpha + fu}{z} \right)^{\frac{2}{9}} \quad (1.1)$$

or

$$J = B c^{\frac{11}{9}} p^{\frac{1}{3}} T^{\frac{16}{27}} a^{\frac{2}{3}} f^{\frac{2}{9}} z^{-\frac{2}{9}} \quad (1.2)$$

and

$$J = E c^{\frac{11}{9}} D^{\frac{16}{27}} z^{-\frac{2}{9}} (\cos \alpha)^{\frac{2}{9}} \quad (1.3)$$

30 wherein  $J$  = the mass transfer flux in  $10^{-5} \text{ g}/(\text{cm}^2\text{s})$ ,  
 $A$ ,  $B$  and  $E$  = the variables that are independent of  
said atherosclerotic parameters,  $v$  and  $u$  = the  
variables related to said  $p$  and said  $a$ ,  $D$  = the  
diffusion coefficient in  $\text{cm}^2/\text{s}$ , and  $g$  = the  
35 gravitational acceleration;

determining the normal values of said atherosclerotic  
parameters;

determining the disease risks yielded by the  
differences between said measured values and said  
40 normal values of said atherosclerotic  
parameters;

adding all said disease risks together yields a total  
risk of said disease;

determining a disease risk level containing said

45       total risk of said disease;

selecting an atherosclerotic risk factor related to  
an atherosclerotic parameter that is the greatest  
contribution to said total risk of said disease so  
as to result in said risk factor as a primary  
50       therapy target of said disease;

selecting a greater flux between the LDL mass  
transfer flux and the monocyte mass transfer flux  
so as to result in said greater flux as a primary  
cause in said disease;

55       selecting a greater concentration level between the  
LDL level in serum and the CRP level in blood  
plasma so as to result in said greater level as a  
secondary therapy target of said disease;

determining a relative ratio between currently said  
60       total risk and previously said total risk so as to  
yield said relative ratio as a therapeutic  
efficacy of said disease;

repeating above-mentioned said methods until said  
disease risk level is reduced to a normal level  
65       for said individual who requires the therapy to  
prevent or to treat atherosclerosis-related CHD or  
stroke; and

above-mentioned said methods are written as an  
executable computer program named the MMA.exe  
70 © 2004, by X.F. Wang to perform said methods.

2. A method as in claim 1 wherein determining said  
disease risk yielded by the difference between the  
measured value and the normal value of said LDL  
concentration parameter, said method comprising the  
75 steps of:

a measured value,  $c_m$  in mg/dL, of the individual's  
LDL concentration in human serum is determined  
using a medical technique for measuring the  
concentration of blood constituents or said  $c_m$  is  
80 determined by the physician;

a normal value,  $c_n$  in mg/dL, of said LDL  
concentration is determined by the physician or  
said  $c_n = 100$  mg/dL for adult;

substituting said  $c_m$  and said  $c_n$  into the following  
85 expression where  $c_m \geq c_n$ :

$$R_1 = \left( \frac{c_m}{c_n} \right)^{\frac{11}{9}} - 1 \quad (1)$$

and

calculating (1) yields said disease risk  $R_1$  caused by  
said LDL concentration parameter related to the

90 atherosclerotic risk factors being an elevated LDL  
concentration in human serum, high-fat diet,  
hypercholesterolemia or other risk factors that  
increase said LDL concentration.

3. A method as in claim 1 wherein determining  
95 said disease risk yielded by the difference between the  
measured value and the normal value of said CRP  
concentration parameters, said method comprising the  
steps of:

a measured value,  $c_m$  in mg/L, of the individual's CRP  
100 concentration in human blood plasma is determined  
using a medical technique for measuring the  
concentration of blood constituents or said  $c_m$  is  
determined by the physician;

a normal value,  $c_n$  in mg/L, of said CRP concentration  
105 and an equivalent factor,  $F$ , are determined by the  
physician wherein  $F = \left( \frac{D_c}{D_L} \right)^{\frac{16}{27}}$ ,  $D_c$  = the CRP diffusion  
coefficient and  $D_L$  = the LDL diffusion coefficient  
or said  $c_n = 1.0$  mg/L for adult and  
said  $F = 0.66$ ;

110 substituting said  $c_m$ , said  $c_n$  and said  $F$  into the  
following expression where  $c_m \geq c_n$ :

$$R_2 = F \left( \left( \frac{c_m}{c_n} \right)^{\frac{11}{9}} - 1 \right) \quad (3)$$

and

calculating (3) yields said disease risk  $R_2$  caused by  
 115 said CRP concentration parameter related to the  
 atherosclerotic risk factors being an elevated CRP  
 level in human blood plasma, systemic  
 inflammation, infectious agents or other risk  
 factors that increase said CRP level.

120 4. A method as in claim 1 determining said disease  
 risk yielded by the difference between the measured  
 value and the normal value of said blood systolic  
 pressure parameter, said method comprising the steps  
 of:

125 a measured value,  $p_m$  in mmHg, of the individual's  
 blood systolic pressure is determined using a  
 medical technique for measuring the human blood  
 pressure or said  $p_m$  is determined by the physician;

a normal value,  $p_n$  in mmHg, of said systolic pressure  
 130 is determined by the physician or said  $p_n = 120$   
 mmHg for adult;

substituting said  $p_m$  and said  $p_n$  into the following  
 expression where  $p_m \geq p_n$ :

$$R_4 = \left( \frac{R_m}{R_n} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} - 1 \quad (4)$$

135        and

calculating (4) yields said disease risk  $R_4$  caused by  
said systolic pressure parameter related to the  
atherosclerotic risk factors being an elevated  
level of blood systolic pressure, family history  
140        of hypertension or other risk factors that  
increase said systolic pressure.

5. A method as in claim 1 wherein determining said  
disease risk yielded by the difference between the  
measured value and the normal value of said blood  
145        diastolic pressure parameter, said method comprising  
the steps of:

a measured value,  $p_m$  in mmHg, of the individual's  
blood diastolic pressure is determined using a  
medical technique for measuring the human  
150        blood pressure or said  $p_m$  is determined by the  
physician;

a normal value,  $p_n$  in mmHg, of said blood diastolic  
pressure is determined by the physician or said  
 $p_n = 70$  mmHg for adult;

155 substituting said  $p_m$  and said  $p_n$  into the following  
expression where  $p_m \geq p_n$ :

$$R_3 = \left( \frac{R_m}{R_n} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} - 1 \quad (5)$$

and

calculating (5) yields said disease risk  $R_5$  caused by  
160 said diastolic pressure parameter related to the  
atherosclerotic risk factors being an elevate  
level of blood diastolic pressure, family history  
of hypertension or other risk factors that  
increase said diastolic pressure.

165 6. A method as in claim 1 wherein determining said  
disease risk yielded by the difference between the  
measured value and the normal value of said heart rate  
parameter, said method comprising the steps of:

a measured value,  $f_m$  in  $s^{-1}$ , of the individual's  
170 heart rate is determined using a medical technique  
for measuring the human heart rate or said  $f_m$  is  
determined by the physician;

a normal value,  $f_n$  in  $s^{-1}$ , of said heart rate is  
determined by the physician or said  $f_n = 72 s^{-1}$   
175 for adult;

substituting said  $f_m$  and said  $f_n$  into the following



expression where  $f_m > f_n$ :

$$R_6 = \left( \frac{f_m}{f_n} \right)^{\frac{2}{9}} - 1 \quad (6)$$

and

180 calculating (6) yields said disease risk  $R_6$  caused by  
 said heart rate parameter related to the  
 atherosclerotic risk factors being an elevated  
 level of heart rate, smoking cigarette, depression  
 or other risk factors that increase said heart  
 185 rate.

7. A method as in claim 1 wherein determining said  
 disease risk yielded by the difference between the  
 measured value and the normal value of said arterial  
 radius parameter, said method comprising the steps of:

190 a measured radius value,  $a_m$  in cm, of the  
 individual's arterial vessel at the lesion-prone  
 sites of arterial bifurcations, arterial  
 branching, arterial curvatures or arterial  
 tapering is determined using a medical technique  
 for measuring the sizes of arterial vessels or  
 195 said  $a_m$  is determined by the physician;

a normal value,  $a_n$  in cm, of said arterial radius is  
 determined by the physician or said  $a_n =$  a value  
 between 0.2 cm and 2.2 cm for adult;

200 substituting said  $a_m$  and said  $a_n$  into the following  
expression where  $a_m \geq a_n$ :

$$R_7 = \left( \frac{a_m}{a_n} \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} - 1 \quad (7)$$

and

calculating (7) yields said disease risk  $R_7$  caused by  
205 said arterial radius parameter related to the  
atherosclerotic risk factors being an increased  
size of arterial radius at said lesion-prone sites  
or other risk factors that increase the size of  
said arterial radius.

210 8. A method as in claim 1 wherein determining said  
disease risk yielded by the difference between the  
measured value and the normal value of said plasma  
temperature parameter, said method comprising the  
steps of:

215 a measured temperature value,  $T_m$  in  $^{\circ}\text{C}$ , of the  
individual's plasma fluid in the region at said  
lesion-prone sites is determined using a medical  
technique for measuring the temperature of human  
blood plasma or said  $T_m$  is determined by the  
220 physician;

a normal value,  $T_n$  in  $^{\circ}\text{C}$ , of said plasma temperature is determined by the physician or said  $T_n = 37^{\circ}\text{C}$ ;

substituting said  $T_m$  and said  $T_n$  into the following expression where  $T_m \geq T_n$ :

$$225 \quad R_8 = \left( \frac{T_m}{T_n} \right)^{\frac{16}{27}} - 1 \quad (8)$$

and

calculating (8) yields said disease risk  $R_8$  caused by said plasma temperature parameter related to the atherosclerotic risk factors being an elevated  
230 temperature of said human blood plasma at said lesion-prone sites, elevated body temperature-related diseases or other risk factors that increase said plasma temperature.

9. A method as in claim 1 wherein determining said  
235 disease risk yielded by the difference between the measured value and the normal value of said angle parameter, said method comprising the step of:

a measured value,  $\alpha_m$  in degree, of the angle between gravity and the average velocity of the blood  
240 fluid in the region at said lesion-prone sites is determined using a medical technique for measuring the human arterial geometries or said  $\alpha_m$  is determined by the physician;

a normal value,  $\alpha_n$  in degree, of said angle is  
 245 determined by the physician or said  $\alpha_n =$  a value  
 between the  $10^\circ$  and  $60^\circ$  for adult;

substituting said  $\alpha_m$  and said  $\alpha_n$  into the following  
 expression where  $\alpha_n \geq \alpha_m$ :

$$R_9 = \left( \frac{\cos \alpha_m}{\cos \alpha_n} \right)^{\frac{2}{9}} - 1 \quad (9)$$

250 and

calculating (9) yields said disease risk  $R_9$  caused by  
 said angle parameter related to the  
 atherosclerotic risk factors being a reduced size  
 of said angle or other risk factors that reduce  
 255 said angle size.

10. A method as in claim 1 wherein determining said  
 disease risk yielded by the difference between the  
 measured value and the normal value of said axial  
 position parameter of the diffusional flux, said method  
 260 comprising the steps of:

a measured value,  $z_m$  in cm, of the individual's axial  
 position of diffusional flux along the inner  
 arterial wall at said lesion-prone sites is  
 determined using a medical technique for measuring

265 the human arterial geometries or said  $z_m$  is  
determined by the physician;

a normal value,  $z_n$  in cm, of said axial position is  
determined by the physician or said  $z_n =$  a value  
between 0.10 cm and 1.00 cm;

270 substituting said  $z_m$  and said  $z_n$  into the following  
expression where  $z_m \leq z_n$ :

$$R_{10} = \left( \frac{z_n}{z_m} \right)^{\frac{2}{9}} - 1 \quad (10)$$

and

calculating (10) yields said disease risk  $R_{10}$   
275 caused by said axial position parameter related  
to the atherosclerotic risk factors being a  
decrease in said axial position of the diffusional  
flux or other risk factors that decrease said  
axial position.

280 11. A method as in claim 1 wherein adding said  $R_1$  in  
claim 2 through said  $R_{10}$  in claim 10 together yields a  
total risk of said disease consisting;

a current total risk of said disease related to the  
currently measured values of said atherosclerotic  
285 parameters; and

a previous total risk of said disease related to the previously measured values of said atherosclerotic parameters.

12. A method as in claim 1 wherein determining said  
290 disease risk level containing said total risk of said disease in claim 11, said method comprising the steps of:

dividing the disease risk level into the following  
seven risk sublevels:  $0.84 \geq$  first disease risk  
295 level  $\geq 0.00$ ,  $1.75 \geq$  second disease risk level  $> 0.84$ ,  $2.70 \geq$  third disease risk level  $> 1.75$ ,  $3.70 \geq$  fourth disease risk level  $> 2.70$ ,  $4.70 \geq$  fifth disease risk level  $> 3.70$ ,  $5.80 \geq$  sixth disease risk level  $> 4.70$  and seventh disease risk level  $> 5.80$ ; and  
300

selecting a disease risk level containing said total risk of said disease in claim 11 from among seven of said disease risk sublevels.

13. A method as in claim 1 wherein selecting an  
305 atherosclerotic risk factor related to the atherosclerotic parameter that is the greatest contribution to said total risk of said disease in claim 11 so as to result in said risk factor as a

primary therapy target of said disease.

310 14. A method as in claim 1 wherein selecting said greater flux between said LDL mass transfer flux and said monocyte mass transfer flux so as to result in said greater flux as a primary cause in said disease, said method comprising the steps of:

315 selecting said LDL mass transfer flux as a primary cause in said disease when said  $R_1$  in claim 2  $\geq$  said  $R_2$  in claim 3; or

selecting said monocyte mass transfer flux as a primary cause in said disease when said  $R_1$  in claim 320 2 < said  $R_2$  in claim 3.

15. A method as in claim 1 wherein selecting said greater concentration level between said LDL level in human serum and said CRP level in human blood plasma so as to result in said greater level as a secondary 325 therapy target, said method comprising the steps of:

selecting said LDL level in serum as secondary therapy target of said disease when said  $R_1$  in claim 2  $\geq$  said  $R_2$  in claim 3; or

selecting said CRP level in blood plasma

330 as a secondary therapy target of said disease when  
said  $R_1$  in claim 2 < said  $R_2$  in claim 3.

16. A method as in claim 1 wherein determining said  
relative ratio between said current total risk of  
said disease and said previous total risk of said  
335 disease in claim 11 so as to yield said relative ratio  
as a therapeutic efficacy of said disease.

17. A method as in claim 1 wherein repeating said  
method in claim 2 through said method in claim 16  
until said disease risk level is reduced to a normal  
340 level for said individual who requires the therapy to  
prevent or to treat atherosclerosis-related CHD or  
stroke.

18. A method as in claim 1 wherein said method in  
claim 2 through said method in claim 16 are written as  
345 an executable computer program named said MMA.exe to  
perform said methods which comprises:

inputting the currently measured values, the  
previously measured values and the normal values  
of the individual's atherosclerosis parameters  
350 into the input screen of said MMA.exe;

pressing the "update" button and the "calc. risk"  
button of said input screen; and



pressing the "evaluate" button so as to yield an  
output screen containing a total risk of said  
355 disease, a primary cause in said disease, a  
primary therapy target of said disease, a  
secondary therapy target of said disease and a  
therapeutic efficiency for said individual who  
requires the diagnosis, the prevention or the  
360 treatment of atherosclerosis-related CHD or  
stroke.